

Periodic and Quasi - Periodic Motions of a Solar Sail Close to SL_1 in the Earth - Sun System

Ariadna Farrés and Àngel Jorba
Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada i Anàlisi
Universitat de Barcelona
Gran Via 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain
E-mails: ari@maia.ub.es, angel@maia.ub.es

16th December 2009

Abstract

Solar sails are a proposed form of spacecraft propulsion using large membrane mirrors to propel a satellite taking advantage of the solar radiation pressure. To model the dynamics of a solar sail we have considered the Earth - Sun Restricted Three Body Problem including the Solar radiation pressure (RTBPS). This model has a 2D surface of equilibrium points parametrised by the two angles that define the sail orientation. In this paper we study the non-linear dynamics close to an equilibrium point, with special interest in the bounded motion. We focus on the region of equilibria close to SL_1 . For different fixed sail orientations we find families of planar, vertical and Halo-type orbits. We have also computed the centre manifold around different equilibria and used it to describe the quasi-periodic motion around them. We show how the geometry of the phase space varies when we vary the sail orientation. These kind of studies can be very useful for future mission applications.

1 Introduction

Solar Sails are a proposed form of spacecraft propulsion that takes advantage of the solar radiation pressure to propel a spacecraft by means of a large membrane mirror. The impact of the photons emitted by the Sun on the surface of the sail and its further reflection will accelerate the spacecraft. Although the acceleration produced by the solar radiation pressure is smaller than the one achieved by the traditional propulsion systems, this one is continuous and unlimited. This makes long term missions more accessible [14]. It also opens a wide new range of possible mission applications that cannot be achieved by a traditional spacecraft, e.g. Geostorm Warning Mission, Polar Observer and the GeoSail [16].

The acceleration given by the sail depends on the orientation of the sail and its efficiency. In this paper we consider a flat and perfectly reflecting sail, so the force due to the solar radiation pressure is normal to its surface. The orientation of the sail is parametrised by two angles α and δ and its efficiency is given in terms of the sail lightness number β . To model the dynamics of a solar sail we have taken the Sun - Earth Restricted Three Body Problem (RTBP) and added the solar radiation pressure.

The Restricted Three Body Problem for a Solar Sail (RTBPS) can be expressed as a perturbation of the RTBP. If the radiation pressure is discarded ($\beta = 0$ or the sail is aligned with the Sun - sail direction) the system has five equilibrium points. When we add the effect of the solar sail, for a fixed sail lightness number $\beta \neq 0$, these points are replaced by 2D families of equilibria parametrised by the two angles defining the orientation of the sail (α, δ) [14, 15].

These artificially generated equilibrium points offer the possibility of considering very interesting mission applications, two examples would be the Geostorm Warning Mission [16, 27] and the Polar Observer Mission [16]. The Geostorm is a mission concept where a modest sail is placed sun-wards of the classical Earth-Sun L_1 point. Then with a magnetometer we can detect the solar wind polarity and give enhanced warning of the geomagnetic storms, doubling the time of alert of a conventional L_1 Halo orbiter such as SOHO. The aim of the Polar observer mission is to place a solar sail around an equilibrium point displaced above the ecliptic plane, above one of the Earth's poles. This would provide constant viewing of the polar regions and could be useful to imaging the polar regions or carrying out studies on the climate evolution on the Arctic zone.

Both missions require to keep a solar sail close to an unstable equilibrium point. Hence we need to derive a station keeping strategy to maintain a solar sail around it. Several authors have already discussed the controllability of these regions and studied different possibilities for the station keeping of a solar sail around unstable equilibrium points [17, 11, 1, 6].

In [6, 7] we describe how to use the information of the linear dynamics close to an equilibrium point to derive station keeping strategies. The main idea is to know how the fixed points and stable and unstable manifolds vary when the sail orientation is changed. A change on sail orientation implies a change on the phase space portrait. If we understand how this changes affect the trajectory of the sail, we can try to change the sail orientation in an appropriate way to make the phase space act in our favour. We end up finding a sequence of changes for the sail orientation, discrete in time, so that the trajectory of the sail remains close to the equilibrium point. Using feedback control we would have to change the sail orientation in a continuous way.

In this paper we want to study and understand the non - linear dynamics around equilibria. We want to know what other invariant objects exist in the phase space and how they change when we consider different sail orientations. In particular we will describe the families of periodic and quasi-periodic orbits. Some of these invariant objects can offer interesting possibilities for other mission applications. In the near future we want to use this information, to derive strategies in the philosophy of [6, 7], to maintain a solar sail close to some of these invariant objects.

We will focus on the particular case of $\alpha = 0$ (i.e. we just allow the sail orientation to vary vertically w.r.t. the Sun - sail line), when the system is time reversible. This will ensure us, that under certain constraints on the nature of the equilibrium points, there exists families of periodic and quasi-periodic orbits around equilibria.

In section 3 we describe the families of periodic orbits that emanate from different equilibrium points. We find planar and vertical families of periodic orbits, as well as Halo - type orbits. In section 4 we describe the quasi-periodic motion around the equilibrium point, finding new families of periodic orbits and invariant tori around equilibria due to the interaction between the two frequencies defining the centre motions.

We will see that if $\alpha = \delta = 0$, i.e. the sail is perpendicular to the Sun-sail line, the qualitative behaviour of the system around the equilibrium point is similar to the behaviour

around the collinear point L_1 of the RTBP. We will show how the loss of symmetry of the system when $\delta \neq 0$ affects the phase space portrait.

As the equilibrium points are unstable, we need to be careful with the numerical tools that we use. We have developed our own algorithms to avoid problems during the integration due to the instability of the region. To the computation of the periodic orbits we have used a parallel shooting method. To study the quasi-periodic motion we have performed the reduction to the centre manifold. In section 4.1 we give some of the main details on how to deal in an efficient way with the computation of this last invariant object.

2 Solar Sails in the RTBP

To describe the motion of a solar sail in the Earth - Sun system, we take the Restricted Three Body Problem for a Solar Sail (RTBPS). We assume that the Earth and Sun are point masses moving in a circular orbit around their common centre of mass, and the sail is a massless particle that is affected by the gravitational attraction of both bodies and the solar radiation pressure. The units of mass, distance and time are normalised so that the total mass of the system is 1, the Earth - Sun distance is 1 and the period of its orbit is 2π . We use a rotation reference system so that Earth and Sun are fixed on the x - axis, z is perpendicular to the ecliptic plane and y defines an orthogonal positive oriented reference system (Figure 1 left).

We consider the solar sail to be flat and perfectly reflecting. This means that the force due to the solar radiation pressure is in the normal direction to the surface of the sail. In such case, the force due to the sail is given by,

$$\vec{F}_{sail} = \beta \frac{1 - \mu}{r_{PS}^2} \langle \vec{r}_s, \vec{n} \rangle^2 \vec{n},$$

where β represents the sail lightness number, \vec{r}_s is the Sun - line direction and \vec{n} is the normal direction to the surface of the sail (both vectors have norm 1). The sail orientation is parametrised by two angles, say α and δ : α is the angle between the projection of the Sun - sail line and the normal vector to the surface of the sail \vec{n} on the ecliptic plane; δ is the difference between: a) the angle between the projection of the Sun - sail line with the ecliptic plane; and b) the angle of the normal vector \vec{n} with the ecliptic plane (see Figure 1 right). There are other possibilities to define these angles, see [14, 17].

The equations of motion are,

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{X} &= 2\dot{Y} + X - \frac{(1 - \mu)}{r_{PS}^3} (X - \mu) - \frac{\mu}{r_{PE}^3} (X - \mu + 1) - \beta \frac{(1 - \mu)}{r_{PS}^3} \langle \vec{r}_s, \vec{n} \rangle^2 N_X, \\ \ddot{Y} &= -2\dot{X} + Y - \left(\frac{(1 - \mu)}{r_{PS}^3} + \frac{\mu}{r_{PE}^3} \right) Y - \beta \frac{(1 - \mu)}{r_{PS}^3} \langle \vec{r}_s, \vec{n} \rangle^2 N_Y, \\ \ddot{Z} &= - \left(\frac{(1 - \mu)}{r_{PS}^3} + \frac{\mu}{r_{PE}^3} \right) Z + \beta \frac{(1 - \mu)}{r_{PS}^3} \langle \vec{r}_s, \vec{n} \rangle^2 N_Z, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where, $r_{PS} = \sqrt{(X - \mu)^2 + Y^2 + Z^2}$, and $r_{PE} = \sqrt{(X - \mu + 1)^2 + Y^2 + Z^2}$, are the Sun-sail and Earth-sail distances respectively, $\vec{r}_s = (X - \mu, Y, Z)/r_{PS}$ is the normalised Sun-sail




Figure 1: Left: Schematic representation of the position of the two primaries and the solar sail in the synodical reference system. Right: Graphic description of the two angles defining the sail orientation

direction and $\vec{n} = (N_X, N_Y, N_Z)$ is the normal direction to the surface of the sail. Using the above definition for the sail orientation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_X &= \frac{X - \mu}{r_{ps}} \cos \alpha \cos \delta - \frac{Z(X - \mu)}{r_{ps}r_2} \cos \alpha \sin \delta - \frac{Y}{r_{pS}} \sin \alpha \cos \delta + \frac{YZ}{r_{ps}r_2} \sin \alpha \sin \delta, \\
 N_Y &= \frac{Y}{r_{ps}} \cos \alpha \cos \delta - \frac{YZ}{r_{ps}r_2} \cos \alpha \sin \delta + \frac{X - \mu}{r_{pS}} \sin \alpha \cos \delta - \frac{Z(X - \mu)}{r_{ps}r_2} \sin \alpha \sin \delta, \\
 N_Z &= \frac{Z}{r_{ps}} \cos \delta + \frac{r_2}{r_{pS}} \sin \delta,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $r_2 = \sqrt{(X - \mu)^2 + Y^2}$. As \vec{n} cannot point towards the Sun there are some restrictions on the normal direction, namely $\langle \vec{r}_s, \vec{n} \rangle \geq 0$. Notice that if $\beta = 0$ or $\langle \vec{r}_s, \vec{n} \rangle = 0$ the solar radiation pressure is discarded and we have the RTBP.

As we know, the RTBP can be expressed as a Hamiltonian system by introducing the momenta: $P_X = \dot{X} - Y, P_Y = \dot{Y} + X, P_Z = \dot{Z}$. Unfortunately, when we introduce the solar radiation pressure the Hamiltonian character of the system only holds for a small set of sail orientations: when the sail is aligned with the Sun-sail line (i.e. $\langle \vec{r}_s, \vec{n} \rangle = 0$) and when the sail is perpendicular to the Sun-sail line (i.e. $\langle \vec{r}_s, \vec{n} \rangle = 1$). For the other cases, the system is not Hamiltonian, but it is still conservative (in the sense that the volume in phase space is preserved by the flow).

There is another particular case that offers interesting properties: when $\alpha = 0$ and $\delta \in [-\pi/2 : \pi/2]$. This means that we only allow vertical oscillations on the sail orientation with respect to the Sun - line. Here the system is time reversible by the symmetry

$$R : (X, Y, Z, \dot{X}, \dot{Y}, \dot{Z}) \rightarrow (X, -Y, Z, -\dot{X}, \dot{Y}, -\dot{Z}).$$

Hence, under certain constraints on the nature of the equilibrium points the system behaves locally as a Hamiltonian [18]. In this paper we will focus on this particular case.

It is well known that the RTBP has 5 equilibrium points $L_{1,\dots,5}$ [23]. When the effect of the solar radiation pressure is added these 5 equilibrium points are replaced by a 2D family of equilibrium points parametrised by the two angles α and δ . If we take $\alpha = 0$ there are 5 1D families of equilibria parametrised by the angle δ . Each of families contains one of the

classical Lagrangian equilibrium points $L_{1,\dots,5}$ and the displaced equilibrium point $SL_{1,\dots,5}$ ¹, we call each of these families $FL_{1,\dots,5}$. In Figures 2 and 3 we can see these families for different values of β . Notice that as β increases these families get “larger”, having fixed points higher above the ecliptic plane and closer to the Sun.

In Figure 2 we have the families FL_1 (left), FL_2 (centre) and FL_3 (right) for different values of β , all of them are contained on the $Y = 0$ plane. All of these equilibrium points are unstable, for small values of β , the spectrum of the equilibrium point is $\{\pm\lambda, \pm i\omega_1, \pm i\omega_2\}$. In Figure 4 we show the spectrum of the fixed points for $\beta = 0.051689$. For large β the spectrum for some of the equilibrium points is $\{\pm\lambda_1, \pm\lambda_2, \pm i\omega_1\}$ [25, 26].

In Figure 3 we have the FL_4 family for different values of β , it is no longer on the $Y = 0$ plane. The FL_5 family is symmetric to FL_4 with respect to $Y = 0$. The spectrum for all these points is $\{\gamma_1 \pm i\omega_1, \gamma_2 \pm i\omega_2, \gamma_3 \pm i\omega_3\}$, where $\gamma_i \neq 0$ with $\gamma_1 > 0, \gamma_2 < 0$ and γ_3 positive on FL_4 and negative on FL_5 . In Figure 5 we see the spectrum for $\beta = 0.051689$ for FL_4 and FL_5 . Notice that although $\gamma_i \neq 0$, it is very small. Hence, they present a very mild instability, we can say that the equilibrium points are practically stable.




Figure 2: Families of equilibrium points for $\beta_1 = 0.01, \beta_2 = 0.05, \beta_3 = 0.1, \beta_4 = 0.15$ and $\beta_5 = 0.2$. From left to right: the FL_1, FL_2 and FL_3 families of equilibria.




Figure 3: FL_4 family of equilibrium points for $\beta_1 = 0.01, \beta_2 = 0.05, \beta_3 = 0.1, \beta_4 = 0.15$ and $\beta_5 = 0.2$. From left to right: XY projection and XZ projection.

Our aim is to understand the dynamics of the system around different unstable equilibria of these families. We will consider the sail orientation to be fixed along time and study the dynamics for different sail orientations. In Section 3 we will describe the families of periodic orbits that appear around different equilibrium points. In Section 4 we will perform the reduction to the centre manifold around the equilibrium points and use it to describe the bounded motion around them.

¹ $SL_{1,\dots,5}$ are the equilibrium points of the system when the sail is oriented perpendicular with respect to the Sun-sail line. They are placed close to the classical Lagrangian points $L_{1,\dots,5}$ but closer to the Sun [5].




Figure 4: Spectrum of the equilibrium points on the FL_1 , FL_2 and FL_3 families for $\beta = 0.051689$.




Figure 5: Spectrum of the equilibrium points on the FL_4 and FL_5 families for $\beta = 0.051689$.

In what follows we focus on the FL_1 family for $\beta = 0.051689$ and δ close to zero. The equilibrium points on the FL_1 family for δ small are placed between the Earth and the Sun close to the displaced collinear equilibrium point SL_1 . We consider this region to be relevant region for possible mission applications. Taking $\beta = 0.051689$, corresponds to a sail with a characteristic acceleration of $0.3mm/s^2$ or a sail loading of $30g/m^2$. This sail lightness number has been considered for the Geostorm Mission and is thought to be a reasonable value for the sail's lightness number for a near term mission application [14, 16, 25]. Although we only focus on a neighbourhood of equilibria of the family FL_1 , the same numerical tools that we have used here can be applied to study the motion around the equilibrium points on the $FL_{2,3}$ families.

3 Periodic Motion

It is well known that if we have a fixed point p_0 on a Hamiltonian system, with $\pm i\omega$ as an eigenvalue, then under suitable non-resonance conditions with respect to the remaining eigenvalues λ_i , the Lyapunov Centre Theorem [12] ensures that there exist a one - parametric family of periodic orbits emanating from p_0 , with limiting period $2\pi/\omega$. Unfortunately the Hamiltonian character of the set of equations when $\alpha = 0$ is only true for 3 values of δ ($\delta = 0$ that happens when the sail oriented perpendicular to the Sun-sail line and $\delta = \pm\pi/2$ when the sail is aligned with the Sun-sail line and there is no sail effect).

In any case, we have already mentioned that for $\alpha = 0$ the RTBPS is time R - reversible by

$$R : (t, X, Y, Z, \dot{X}, \dot{Y}, \dot{Z}) \rightarrow (-t, X, -Y, Z, -\dot{X}, \dot{Y}, -\dot{Z}).$$

It is known [18, 10], that under certain constraints a time reversible system behave locally as Hamiltonian systems around an equilibrium point. In particular, around this point the

Lyapunov's Centre Theorem [4, 13, 18, 10] and KAM Theory [19, 10] also apply.

Theorem 3.1 [4] *Let $\dot{x} = f(x)$, with $f \in \mathcal{C}^2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be an autonomous R - reversible dynamical system, where $\dim(\text{Fix}(R)) = n$. Let p_0 be a fixed point such that $R(p_0) = p_0$, and with $\pm i\omega, \pm\lambda_2, \dots, \pm\lambda_n$ as eigenvalues.*

Then, if $\forall \lambda_i$ we have that $i\omega/\lambda_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a one-parametric family of periodic orbits emanating from p_0 , where the period of these orbits tends to $2\pi/\omega$ when approaching p_0 .

This Theorem is commonly known as Devaney - Lyapunov's Centre Theorem, for further details see [4, 18].

One can check that the only equilibrium points that remain fixed by R are the ones on the FL_1, FL_2 and FL_3 families. Hence, the local behaviour around these equilibria will be Hamiltonian. Theorem 3.1 [4] assures that under non - resonant conditions between the frequencies ω_1, ω_2 we have two families of periodic orbits emanating from the fixed point.

In this section we want to describe the two families of periodic orbits that appear around an equilibrium point of the FL_1 family. We distinguish the two families by their vertical oscillation, the family related to ω_2 has a wider vertical oscillation than the one emanating from ω_1 . Then, we call the \mathcal{P} - *Lyapunov Family* to the family of periodic orbits emanating from p_0 related to ω_1 and the \mathcal{V} - *Lyapunov Family* to the family emanating from p_0 related to ω_2 .

Due to the symmetric reversibility properties of the system, all these families of periodic orbits are symmetric with respect to $Y = 0$. Furthermore, for δ small, the \mathcal{P} - Lyapunov Family cross transversally $Y = 0$ and the \mathcal{V} - Lyapunov Family cross transversally $Z = Z^*$ just two times. From now on, we will only consider $\delta > 0$, as the systems is also symmetric by

$$S : (X, Y, Z, \dot{X}, \dot{Y}, \dot{Z}, \delta) \rightarrow (X, Y, -Z, \dot{X}, \dot{Y}, \dot{Z}, -\delta).$$

We start taking $\delta = 0$ and studying the behaviour of the two families of periodic orbits, then we see how these families vary when $\delta \neq 0$.

To compute the families of periodic orbits, we have designed our own routines for the numerical refinement and continuation of periodic orbits. For each of the families we have taken into account the transversality properties mentioned before. To deal with the instability of the region and to avoid difficulties in the integration of the periodic orbits we have used a multiple shooting method [22] using two spatial sections. For the \mathcal{P} - Family we have taken the sections $\Gamma_1 = \{Y = 0, \dot{Y} > 0\}$ and $\Gamma_2 = \{Y = 0, \dot{Y} < 0\}$, and for the \mathcal{V} - Family we have taken the sections $\Sigma_1 = \{Z = Z^*, \dot{Z} > 0\}$ and $\Sigma_2 = \{Z = Z^*, \dot{Z} < 0\}$. As an initial guess for the continuation we can take the linear approximation of the solutions of the flow. We must also mention that due to the symmetries on the equations, if we take the cross section Γ_1 and Γ_2 we can save time by just integrating half of the period.

We have also computed the stability of the periodic orbits on each family. As we know, if $\phi_t(x)$ is the flow associated to an ODE, the normal behaviour around a T - periodic orbit through x_0 is given by the monodromy matrix $M = D\phi_T(x_0)$. As we are in a reversible system, the eigenvalues come in pairs, so

$$\text{spect}(M) = \{1, 1, \lambda_1, \lambda_1^{-1}, \lambda_2, \lambda_2^{-1}\}.$$

We define the *stability parameters* of the periodic orbit as $s_i = \lambda_i + \lambda_i^{-1}$ for $i = 1, 2$. We use them to describe the stability of the periodic orbit. Each s_i is related to an invariant

plane by M , they can either be hyperbolic, elliptic, parabolic or complex unstable. We say that they are:

- *Hyperbolic* if $s_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|s_i| > 2$ (i.e. $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 1\}$).
- *Elliptic* if $s_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|s_i| < 2$ (i.e. $\lambda_i = e^{\pm i\rho}$ with $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$).
- *Parabolic* if $s_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|s_i| = 2$ (i.e. $\lambda_i = \pm 1$).
- *Complex unstable* if $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, $|\lambda_i| \neq 1$).

We say that the periodic orbit has an hyperbolic direction if one of the s_i is hyperbolic and that it has an elliptic direction if one of the s_i is elliptic. Notice that if s_1 is complex unstable, then s_2 is also complex unstable, in fact $s_2 = \bar{s}_1$. A periodic orbit is unstable if at least one hyperbolic or complex unstable direction.

3.1 \mathcal{P} - Family of periodic orbits

For all the computations we have considered the X coordinate of the point where the orbit crosses the section Γ_1 as the parameter of continuation.

When $\delta = 0$ the periodic orbits that are born close to the equilibrium point are totally contained on the $Z = 0$ plane. In Figure 6 we can see the continuation scheme, on the x - axis we have the continuation parameter (X) and on the y - axis the Z component of the point of the orbit on the section Γ_1 . At a certain time, a pitchfork bifurcation takes place, and two new periodic orbits are born, commonly known as Halo orbits.

On the left - hand side of Figure 6 we can see this bifurcation, in red we have plotted those periodic orbits with one elliptic and one hyperbolic direction, and in blue those orbits with two hyperbolic directions. On the right - hand side of Figure 6 we have the evolution on the stability parameters along the family.




Figure 6: \mathcal{P} - family of periodic orbits for $\delta = 0$. Left: bifurcations diagram for the continuation of periodic orbits w.r.t X , centre \times saddle orbits in red, saddle \times saddle orbits in blue. Right: evolution of the stability parameters s_1 and s_2 along the family of periodic orbits.

On the left hand side of Figure 7 we see the planar periodic orbits on the \mathcal{P} - Family, before and after the bifurcation point, this family is totally contained on the $Z = 0$ plane.

In Figure 7 (middle and right) we see different projections of one of the two families of Halo orbits. The other family of Halo orbits is symmetric to this one w.r.t $Z = 0$. Finally, in Figure 8 we have two different 3D projections on the position space of the three branches of the periodic orbits on the family.




Figure 7: XY projection on the Planar Lyapunov periodic orbits on the \mathcal{P} - Family (left). Stability parameters of the planar family of periodic orbits (right).




Figure 8: Different projections on the XYZ space of the 3 different branches of periodic orbits for $\delta = 0$.

When $\delta \neq 0$, as we can see in Figure 9 there is no longer a pitchfork bifurcation giving rise to two Halo-type orbits as it happened for $\delta = 0$. Now two of the branches have split, leaving a family of periodic orbits with no change in the stability and another family with a saddle - node bifurcation (Figure 9 left). This is due to a symmetry breaking [8, 3] in the system. It is well known that the pitchfork bifurcations are a degenerate type of bifurcations, that usually appear when the system has some symmetries, as it happens when $\delta = 0$. But when $\delta \neq 0$ this symmetry breaks and a saddle - node bifurcation appears instead (the saddle - node bifurcations are more generic, and do not require symmetries). On the right - hand side of Figure 9, we can see the evolution of the continuation scheme when we vary δ . Notice that the separation between the two branches increases with δ .

In Figures 10 and 11 we can see different projections of these two families for $\delta = 0.01$. In Figure 10 we have the family that emanates from the equilibrium point. We can see how, as the orbits amplitude increases they gain Z amplitude, ending up looking like Halo type orbits. Here all the periodic orbits have one hyperbolic and one elliptic direction. In Figure 11 we see the family of periodic orbits that appears after the saddle - node bifurcation. The orbits on the second component of the family that have less Z oscillation have two hyperbolic




Figure 9: Bifurcation diagram for the continuation of periodic orbits w.r.t X of the \mathcal{P} - Family of periodic orbits for different sail orientations (δ). For $\delta = 0.001$ (left). Evolution of the bifurcation diagram for $\delta = 0, 0.001, 0.005, 0.01$ (right).

directions, while the other ones have one hyperbolic and one elliptic direction. Finally, in Figure 12 we have different 3D projections, on the position space, of the two disconnected branches of the \mathcal{P} - Family of periodic orbits for $\delta = 0.01$. We can see that qualitatively behaviour for $\delta \neq 0$ is not that different to the one for $\delta = 0$, in both cases we find planar and Halo - type orbits.




Figure 10: For $\delta = 0.01$. Different projections of the periodic orbits on \mathcal{P} - Family that emanate from the fixed pint. From left to right: XY projection, XZ projection and YZ projection.




Figure 11: For $\delta = 0.01$. Different projection of the periodic orbits on \mathcal{P} - Family that appear after a saddle node bifurcation. From left to right: XY projection, XZ projection and YZ projection.




Figure 12: Different projections on the XYZ space of the 2 disconnected branches of periodic orbits for $\delta = 0.01$.

3.2 \mathcal{V} - Family of periodic orbits

Now for all the computations we have considered the \dot{Z} coordinate of the point where the orbit crosses the section Σ_1 as the parameter of continuation.

When $\delta = 0$ the periodic orbits are symmetric with respect to the planes $Z = 0$ and $Y = 0$. In Figure 13 we have different projections of this family of periodic orbits. We can see that these orbits have a bow tie shape. All of them have one hyperbolic and one elliptic direction.




Figure 13: For $\delta = 0$. Different projections of the periodic orbits on the \mathcal{V} - family. From left to right: XY projection, XZ projection and YZ projection.

For $\delta \neq 0$ the family of periodic orbits is only symmetric with respect to the $Y = 0$ plane. The periodic orbits that are born near the equilibrium point are seen as circles on the XZ projection. As we move along the family, their shape changes and they also look like a bow tie, although it is no longer symmetric. For small δ , the shape of most of the orbits in the family is still like a bow tie. We can see that as δ increases there is more difference between the two loops on the bow tie. In Figure 14 we have different projections of this family for $\delta = 0.01$. As before, all of these periodic orbits have one hyperbolic and one elliptic direction.

Finally, in Figure 15 we have 3D projections, on the position of these families for different values of δ . We can see clearly, how these families get more asymmetric as δ increases. In the picture we have $\delta = 0, 0.005, 0.01$ and 0.03 .




Figure 14: For $\delta = 0.01$. Different projections of the periodic orbits on the \mathcal{V} - family. From left to right: XY projection, XZ projection and YZ projection.




Figure 15: Projections on the XYZ space of the \mathcal{V} - Family of periodic orbits for different values of δ . From left to right, top to bottom: $\delta = 0, \delta = 0.005, \delta = 0.01$ and $\delta = 0.03$

4 Quasi - Periodic Motion

In the previous section we have described the families of periodic orbits that appear around the fixed points and studied their stability. Now we want to give a more detailed description of the non - linear dynamics around the equilibrium point.

As we know, the linear dynamics of the fixed points on the FL_1 family is the cross product of a saddle and two complex directions with zero real part and we want to study the bounded motion around them. Due to the instability produced by the saddle, taking arbitrary initial conditions and integrating them numerically to produce plots of the orbits is not a good option as the trajectories will escape quickly. To get rid of the instability produced by the saddle, we have performed the so - called reduction to the centre manifold.

We call centre manifold to an invariant manifold that is tangent to linear subspace gen-

erated by the different pairs of complex eigenvectors. We know that this invariant manifold might not be unique, although the Taylor expansion of the graph of this invariant manifold at the equilibrium point is [2, 20, 24]. The reduction to the centre manifold process consists in finding a high order approximation of this invariant manifold. The main idea is to uncouple the saddle direction from the other directions up to high order. Then, neglecting the reminder we obtain a high order approximation of the flow on an invariant manifold that does not contain the saddle. In this way we can do numerical integrations and study the motion around the equilibrium point on the centre manifold.

If the system is Hamiltonian we can compute this manifold using a partial normal form scheme of the Hamiltonian [9], but this is not the case, as the system is Hamiltonian only for a small set of parameters. To deal with this situation we have used the graph transform method [2, 21, 5]. The idea is to compute, formally, the power expansion of the graph of the centre manifold at the equilibrium point. In section 4.1 we give the main ideas of the algorithms that we have used to compute the graph of the centre manifold $y = v(x)$ in an efficient way, for further details on the algorithm see [5].

Finally, we have computed the centre manifold around several equilibrium points on the FL_1 family. For each one we have computed the Taylor series of the graph of the centre manifold up to degree 16. In section 4.2 we will use this manifold to study the local dynamics around the different equilibrium point.

4.1 Reduction to the Centre Manifold

Let $\dot{z} = F(z)$ be an ordinary differential equation where $z \in \mathbb{R}^6$ and has a fixed point of the type centre \times centre \times saddle. Without loss of generality we can assume that the fixed point is at the origin. It is well known that with an appropriate linear transformation, the equations of motion can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= Ax + f(x, y), \\ \dot{y} &= By + g(x, y),\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, all the eigenvalues of the matrix A have zero real part and all the eigenvalues of the matrix B are real. The functions f and g are sufficiently smooth and satisfy,

$$f(0, 0) = 0, \quad Df(0, 0) = 0, \quad g(0, 0) = 0, \quad Dg(0, 0) = 0.$$

Note that $y = 0$ is the linear approximation to the centre manifold. We are interested in finding $y = v(x)$ with $v(0) = 0$ and $Dv(0) = 0$, the local expression of the centre manifold. If we substitute this on equations (2), we have that $v(x)$ must satisfy:

$$Bv(x) + g(x, v(x)) = Dv(x)[Ax + f(x, v(x))],\tag{3}$$

and the flow restricted to the manifold is given by,

$$\dot{x} = Ax + f(x, v(x)).\tag{4}$$

To fix notation, if $x = (x_1, \dots, x_4)$ is a vector of complex numbers and $k = (k_1, \dots, k_4)$ a vector of integer numbers, we define $|k| = k_1 + \dots + k_4$ and denote $x^k = x_1^{k_1} \dots x_4^{k_4}$ (here $0^0 = 1$).

Let us assume that $\pm\lambda$, $\pm i\omega_1$ and $\pm i\omega_2$ are the eigenvalues of $D_z F$, and that we have performed a linear transformation such that A and B are in diagonal form. We want to find

$y = v(x)$ that satisfies equation (3). We take $v(x) = \sum_{|k| \geq 2} v_k x^k$, with $v_k \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the power expansion of $v(x)$ around the origin and we want to find the values $v_k = (v_k^1, v_k^2)$ up to high order. This way we have a good approximation of the centre manifold, for instance, if we have $\widehat{v}(x) = \sum_{|k|=2}^N v_k x^k$ that satisfies equation (3) up to order N , then $\widehat{v}(x)$ approximates the graph of the centre manifold up to the same order, i.e. $\|v(x) - \widehat{v}(x)\| = O(\|x\|^N)$. And $\dot{x} = Ax + f(x, \widehat{v}(x))$ is a high order approximation of the flow on the centre manifold.

Notice that equation (3) can be rewritten as,

$$Dv(x)Ax - Bv(x) = g(x, v(x)) - Dv(x)f(x, v(x)), \quad (5)$$

where the left - hand side of this equation is a linear operator w.r.t $v(x)$ and right - hand side a non - linear one. As A and B are in their diagonal form, then the left - hand side of equation (5) takes the diagonal form,

$$Dv(x)Ax - Bv(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{|k| \geq 2} (i\omega_1 k_1 - i\omega_1 k_2 + i\omega_2 k_3 - i\omega_2 k_4 - \lambda) v_k^1 x^k \\ \sum_{|k| \geq 2} (i\omega_1 k_1 - i\omega_1 k_2 + i\omega_2 k_3 - i\omega_2 k_4 + \lambda) v_k^2 x^k \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Let $h(x) = g(x, v(x)) - Dv(x)f(x, v(x))$ be the right hand side of equation (5). We take its expansion $h(x) = \sum_{|k| \geq 2} h_k x^k$ around the origin ($h_k = (h_k^1, h_k^2)$), where the coefficients h_k depend on the coefficient of v_k in a known way. It can be seen that the coefficients h_k for $|k| = n$ depend on v_k with $|k| < n$ (see [5]). Hence, we can find the coefficients v_k up to degree N in an iterative way. We already know that $v_k = 0$ for $|k| = 0, 1$, as $v(0) = 0$ and $Dv(0) = 0$. Then we can compute v_k for $|k| = 2, \dots, N$ by solving at each step the diagonal linear system (5).

This process is carried out up to a sufficiently high order N . Finally, we have the expansion up to degree N of the local central manifold,

$$\widehat{v}(x) = \sum_{|k| \geq 2}^N v_k x^k.$$

Once this is done, we are ready to explore the phase space. We will use $\dot{x} = Ax + f(x, \widehat{v}(x))$ to integrate the flow and have a good description of the motion on the centre manifold.

Remark 1 *To have an efficient algorithm, we need to find an efficient way to compute the coefficients h_k . As we know they come from the expansion around the origin of*

$$h(x) = g(x, v(x)) - Dv(x)f(x, v(x)).$$

Expanding $g(x, y)$ and $f(x, y)$ and then composing with $v(x)$ is NOT an option, as it is very hard in terms of computational time. To obtain a more efficient algorithm one we propose to find a recurrent expression for the expansion of the non - linear terms and use these expressions to compute the composition of these functions with $v(x)$. For more details on how to use these recurrent expression see [5].

Remark 2 *It is not necessary to have A and B in their diagonal form, but then the linear part of equation (5) will not take a diagonal form. Then, as we increase the degree, the dimension of the linear system we have to solve increases and so does the computational cost and error propagation while solving it.*

The linear system can be solved if and only if

$$i\omega_1 k_1 - i\omega_1 k_2 + i\omega_2 k_3 - i\omega_2 k_4 \mp \lambda \neq 0.$$

This is always true as $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $i\omega_1, i\omega_2$ are pure imaginary numbers.

4.2 Dynamics on the Centre Manifold

Using the algorithm explained above we have computed the centre manifold around several equilibrium points of the FL_1 family (i.e. different sail orientations). For each one we have computed the Taylor series up to degree 16 of the graph of the centre manifold, $y = v(x)$. Using an Intel Xeon CPU at 3.40GHz this takes around 22 seconds of CPU time.

Once we have reduced to the centre manifold, we are on a 4D phase space. Let (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) be the local coordinates on the centre manifold. A 4D phase space is difficult to visualise, so we need to perform suitable Poincaré sections to reduce the phase space dimension.

4.2.1 When the Sail is Perpendicular

As we have already said, when $\delta = 0$, the system is still Hamiltonian. We take advantage of this to help us visualise the phase space. As we know the Jacobi constant,

$$J_C = (\dot{X}^2 + \dot{Y}^2 + \dot{Z}^2) - 2\Omega(X, Y, Z),$$

is a first integral of the system, hence it remains constant along time for a given trajectory. We group the trajectories on the phase space by their energy level, i.e. the value of the Jacobi constant (J_C).

To visualise the dynamics on the centre manifold, we first take the Poincaré section $x_3 = 0$ and fix J_C to determine x_4 . One can check that taking $x_3 = 0$ is the same as to take $z = 0$ and x_4 is related to \dot{z} . Hence, x_1, x_2 are a linear transformation of the $\{x, y\}$ - plane. For each energy level we take several initial conditions and compute 500 iterates on the Poincaré sections. Figure 16 shows the results for $J_C = -2.895937, -2.895920, -2.895904$ and -2.895889 . We can see that for a fixed energy level, the motion on the section is bounded by the Planar Lyapunov orbit, which is fully contained on this section. The Vertical Lyapunov orbit is the central fixed point, as it crosses transversally this section close to the origin.

For small values of the energy, the coupling of the two frequencies, ω_1 and ω_2 , gives rise to a family of invariant tori. As the J_C varies, the Planar Lyapunov orbit changes its stability and the well know Halo orbits appear (see Section 3.1). These orbits correspond to the two new fixed points on the section, as the Halo orbits cross also cross transversally this section. We still see families of invariant tori around the fixed points and around the two Halo orbits.

Notice that $x_3 = 0$ is not the only Poincaré section that we can do. We chose this one for classical reasons, and because we know that $Z = 0$ is a cross section and the motion is symmetric with respect to this plane. But we could chose another one, for instance $Y = 0$ is also a cross section and a symmetry plane.




Figure 16: For $\delta = 0$; Poincaré section $x_3 = 0$ for different energy levels. From left to right, top to bottom $J_C = -2.895937, -2.895920, -2.895904, -2.895889$.

Now that taking $x_2 = 0$ is similar to taking $Y = 0$. Then we determine x_1 from the J_C , that is similar to taking \dot{Y} . Now we repeat the same process as before, take a value for J_C and several initial conditions x_3, x_4 and compute 500 Poincaré sections for each one. Figure 17 shows the results for the same energy levels as before.

Now the Planar Lyapunov orbit is the central fixed point. As before, we can see that for small values of the energies, we have a family of invariant tori due to the coupling of the two frequencies. As the energy level increases the Planar Lyapunov orbit changes its stability and the two Halo orbits appear. Here we can clearly appreciate the pitchfork bifurcation of the Planar Lyapunov orbit that gives rise to the Halo orbits that was mentioned in Section 3.

We note that the behaviour here is qualitatively the same as for the RTBP close to the collinear points. Now we want to see how this varies when the sail is no longer perpendicular to the Sun - line (i.e. $\delta \neq 0$).

4.2.2 When the Sail is not Perpendicular

Now we take different values for the sail orientation $\delta_1 = 0.005$ and $\delta_2 = 0.01$ and do the same analysis. The main difference is that now the system is not Hamiltonian, hence we do not have a first integral to help us reduce the phase space dimension. Nevertheless, we use the quantity:

$$\tilde{J}_C = (\dot{X}^2 + \dot{Y}^2 + \dot{Z}^2) - 2\Omega(X, Y, Z) + \beta(1 - \mu) \frac{Zr_2}{r_{PS}^3} \cos^2 \delta \sin^2 \delta.$$




Figure 17: For $\delta = 0$; Poincaré section for $x_2 = 0$ for different energy levels. From left to right, top to bottom $J_C = -2.895937, -2.895920, -2.895904, -2.895889$.

Notice that for $\delta = 0$, \tilde{J}_C is the Jacobi constant that we have used before. This value varies little along the trajectories, we will use it as an “approximated energy level” and will help us to compare the Hamiltonian behaviour with this non - Hamiltonian one.

When the system is Hamiltonian and we take several initial conditions with the same energy level, their trajectories are in the same surface of fixed energy. Hence, we are reducing in one the phase space dimension. When the system is not Hamiltonian and we take several initial conditions with the same \tilde{J}_C , the “approximated energy level”, the value \tilde{J}_C does not remain fixed for all of the points on the trajectories. But it can be seen that close to the equilibrium point, \tilde{J}_C varies slightly. We can say, that we are almost reducing in one the phase space dimension. The projection of the trajectories on a fixed surface of fixed \tilde{J}_C will be good enough.

As before we first perform the Poincaré section $x_3 = 0$. Now this is like taking the cross section $Z = Z^*$. We use the \tilde{J}_C to determine x_4 , that is related to \dot{Z} . We now take different initial conditions (x_1, x_2) and perform 500 iterates on the Poincaré section for different \tilde{J}_C . Figures 18 and 19 show, for $\delta = 0.005$ and $\delta = 0.01$ respectively, these Poincaré sections for $\tilde{J}_C = -2.895937, -2.895920, -2.895904$ and -2.895889 . As before, we see that for small energy levels the coupling between the two frequencies gives rise to families of invariant tori around the equilibrium point. Now the central fixed points corresponds to the Vertical Lyapunov periodic orbit, that crosses transversally this section, and the Planar Lyapunov orbit bounds the motion on the section. As \tilde{J}_C varies, one Halo orbit appears, seen as the fixed point that appears on the right hand side of the Poincaré sections. If we remember the behaviour of the \mathcal{P} - Family of periodic orbits for $\delta \neq 0$ in Section 3.1, this family starts with a small \dot{Z} amplitude. There is a point close to the saddle node bifurcation where the \dot{Z}




Figure 18: For $\delta = 0.005$; Poincaré section for $x_3 = 0$ for different \hat{J}_C . From left to right, top to bottom $\tilde{J}_C = -2.895937, -2.895920, -2.895904, -2.895889$.

amplitude starts to grow significantly, having Halo - type type orbit. When the orbit gains Z amplitude, it is transversal to this Poincaré section, and we see it appear as a new fixed point. When the saddle - node bifurcation takes place, another Halo - type orbit appear, as can be seen in Figure 18 and 19. We can see that the two Halo orbits are no longer symmetric to each other, as well as the behaviour around them.

Now we take the Poincaré section $x_2 = 0$, which is at first order equivalent to $Y = 0$, and we fix \tilde{J}_C to determine x_1 . As before we take several initial conditions (x_3, x_4) as perform 500 iterates on the Poincaré section for different \tilde{J}_C . In Figures 20 and 21 show, for $\delta = 0.005$ and $\delta = 0.01$ respectively, the Poincaré section $x_2 = 0$ for different values for \tilde{J}_C .

Now the fixed point in the centre corresponds to an orbit of the \mathcal{P} - Family, and the motion is bounded by an orbit of the \mathcal{V} - Family. For small values of \tilde{J}_C level we just see the families of invariant tori around the fixed point, due to the coupling between the two elliptic frequencies. As this energy increases, we can see how the fixed point, i.e. Planar Lyapunov Orbit, shifts to the left, and two new periodic orbits appear, one stable and one unstable, giving rise to the new Halo - type orbit. Here we can clearly appreciate the saddle - node bifurcation on the family of of periodic orbits that was seen in Section 3.1.

If we remember Figure 17, we saw that the planar family of periodic orbits experiences a pitchfork bifurcation as the energy level increases, which gives rise to the Halo orbits. Now in Figures 20 and 21 we can see how for $\delta \neq 0$, due to the symmetry breaking on the equations motion, this bifurcation is replaced by a saddle - node bifurcation.

The main different between the behaviour for $\delta = 0.005$ or $\delta = 0.01$ is that the phase space is less symmetric as δ increases.




Figure 19: For $\delta = 0.01$; Poincaré sections for $x_3 = 0$ for different \widehat{J}_C . From left to right, top to bottom $\widetilde{J}_C = -2.895937, -2.895920, -2.895904, -2.895889$.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we have focused on the understanding of the non - linear dynamics for different equilibrium points close to the displaced collinear point L_1 . We have restricted to the particular case $\alpha = 0$ to take advantage of the reversible character of the system, as it ensures us the existence of families of periodic orbits and invariant tori.

For this study we have computed the families of periodic orbits by means of a continuation method. Furthermore, we have performed the reduction to the centre manifold using the graph transform method around the different equilibrium points to have a better understanding of the bounded motion.

We find that when the sail is perpendicular to the Sun - line the system behaves qualitatively as the RTBP. The two frequencies defining the centre motion gives rise to two families of periodic orbits, a planar and a vertical family, and we find families of invariant tori due to the coupling of the two frequencies. As we move along the planar family of periodic orbits a pitchfork bifurcation takes place, and two families of Halo - type orbits appear.

When the sail is no longer perpendicular to the ($\delta \neq 0$) this behaviour varies slightly. We still have two families of periodic orbits emanating from the two fixed points, each one related to one of the two frequencies defining the centre motion. But we no longer have a pitchfork bifurcation that gives rise to the Halo - type orbits, this one has been replaced by a saddle - node bifurcation due to the symmetry breaking of the system. Finally, we also find families of invariant tori due to the interaction between the two frequencies.




Figure 20: For $\delta = 0.005$; Poincaré sections for $x_2 = 0$ for different \widehat{J}_C . From left to right, top to bottom $\widetilde{J}_C = -2.895937, -2.895920, -2.895904, -2.895889$.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the MEC grant MTM2006-11265 and the CIRIT grant 2005SGR01028.

References

- [1] Bookless, J., McInnes, C.R., Control of Lagrange point orbits using solar sail propulsion, Proceedings of the 56th International Astronautical Congress, (2005)
- [2] Carr, J., Applications of Centre Manifold Theory. Springer-Verlag, New York (1981)
- [3] Crawford, J.D., Introduction to bifurcation theory, Reviews of Modern Physics, 64, (1991)
- [4] Devaney, R. L., Reversible diffeomorphisms and flows, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 218, 89-113 (1976)
- [5] Farrés, A., Jorba, À., On the high order approximation of the centre manifold for ODEs, preprint (2009)
- [6] Farrés, A., Jorba, À., Dynamical system approach for the station keeping of a solar sail, The Journal of Astronautical Science, 58, 199-230 (2008)




Figure 21: For $\delta = 0.01$; Poincaré sections for $x_2 = 0$ for different \widehat{J}_C . From left to right, top to bottom $\widetilde{J}_C = -2.895937, -2.895920, -2.895904, -2.895889$.

- [7] Farrés, A., Jorba, À., Solar sail surfing along families of equilibrium points, *Acta Astronautica*, 63, 249-257 (2008)
- [8] Gulubitski, M., Schaeffer, D.G., Stewart, I.N., *Singularities and Groups in Bifurcation Theory*. Springer-Verlag, (1985)
- [9] Jorba, À., A methodology for the numerical computation of normal forms, centre manifolds and first integrals of hamiltonian systems, *Experimental Mathematics*, 8, 155-195 (1999)
- [10] Lamb, J.S.W., Roberts, J.A.G., Time-reversal symmetry in dynamical systems: a survey, *Phys. D*, 112, 1-39 (1998)
- [11] Lisano. M., Solar sail transfer trajectory design and station keeping control for missions to Sub-L1 equilibrium region, *Proceedings of the 15th AAS/AIAA Space Flight Mechanics Conference*, Colorado (2005)
- [12] Meyer, K. R., Hall, G. R. *Introduction to Hamiltonian Dynamical Systems and the N-Body Problem*. Springer (1991)
- [13] Moser, J. K., On the generalization of a theorem of A. Liapounoff, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 11, 257-271 (1958)
- [14] McInnes, C.R., *Solar Sailing: Technology, Dynamics and Mission Applications*. Springer-Praxis (1999)

- [15] McInnes, C.R., McDonald, A.J.C., Simmons, J.F.L., MacDonald, E.W., Solar sail parking in restricted three-body system, *Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*, 17, 399-406 (1994)
- [16] Macdonald, M., McInnes, C.R., A near - term road map for solar sailing, *Proceedings of the 55th International Astronautical Congress, Vancouver* (2004)
- [17] Rios-Reyes, L., Scheeres, D.J., Robust solar sail trajectory control for large pre-launch modelling errors, *Proceedings of the AIAA Guidance, Navigation and Control Conference* (2005)
- [18] Sevryuk.M. B., *Reversible Systems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1986)
- [19] Sevryuk, M. B. The finite-dimensional reversible KAM theory, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 112, 132-147 (1998)
- [20] Sijbrand, J., Properties of the centre manifold, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 289 (1985)
- [21] Simó, C., Les Méthodes Modernes de la Mécanique Céleste, In : *Analytical and Numerical Approximation of Invariant Manifolds*, pp. 285-329. Editions Frontières (1990)
- [22] Stoer, J., Bulirsch, B., *Introduction to numerical analysis*. Springer-Verlag, New York, (2002)
- [23] Szebehely. V., *Theory of orbits: The restricted problem of three bodies*. Academic Press (1967)
- [24] Vanderbauwhede, A., *Centre Manifolds, Normal Forms and Elementary Bifurcations*, In: John Wiley & Sons Ltd., *Dynamics Reported. A Series in Dynamical Systems and their Applications*, Vol. 2. (1989)
- [25] Waters, T.J., McInnes, C.R. Periodic orbits above the ecliptic plane in the solar sail restricted 3-body problem, *Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*, 30, 786-693 (2007)
- [26] Waters, T.J., McInnes, C.R. Invariant manifolds and orbit control in the solar sail 3-body problem, *Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*, 31, (2008)
- [27] Yen. C.W, Solar sail Geostorm Warning Mission design, *Proceedings of the 14th AAS/AIAA Space Flight Mechanics Conference, Hawaii*, (2004)